

- 8 1
9 B
10 e. a chip recognition system in the central computer to determine the value of
11 the wagers in each of the wagering locations; and
f. a platform on the table above the predetermined wagering location wherein
each of the plurality of video imagers is located below the platform.
-

Remarks:

Claims 1-9 and 16 remain in this case, claims 1, 5-9 and 16 stand rejected, and claims 2-4 stand objected to.

The Examiner indicated that claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten as suggested by the Examiner. Claim 1 has been amended to include the feature of the platform on the table, and thus claims 1-9 should now be in allowable form.

However, claim 16 has not been amended. Claim 16 includes the features of a plurality of video multiplexers with one of the multiplexers associated with each of the tables and the multiplexers coupled in series to the central computer. Further, each of the multiplexers has a plurality of video imagers coupled to it. This feature is neither shown nor suggested by the references, alone or in combination. Further, there is no suggestion in any of the references for the modification posited by the Examiner. None of the references, alone or in combination with other references, shows or suggests a plurality of video imagers coupled to a multiplexer, and a plurality of such multiplexers coupled in series to a central computer for a gambling tracking system. Thus, reconsideration of the Examiner's rejection of claim 16 is respectfully requested.